Jacquelyn B. N'Jai 7801 Lloyd Avenue #116 Pittsburgh, PA 15232 (217) 572-2327

Social Security Administration
Office of the Regional Commissioner
Philadelphia Regional Office
Attention: RPAO
300 Spring Garden St., 7<sup>th</sup> Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19123

Re: Jacquelyn B. N'Jai receipt of a letter from the SSA Office of Hearings Operations, dated March 27, 2018, by a Barbette A. Smith, Director-Division of Administrative Support.

April 2, 2018

Attn: RPAO:

Since I had not heard from the SSA, I wrote this Complaint to go into the Federal District Court <u>days prior to receiving the above-mentioned letter</u>. It has not been filed, as I will attempt to exhaust any administrative remedies with your department.

The facts, evidence and other information in this Official Complaint that I am now filing in your office, will give you clarity of my position, as I await your final determination.

It is also important to note that I do not have the referenced <u>February 28, 2018</u> <u>letter that the SSA Monroeville claimed it sent me</u>. I am requesting a copy of that letter for my records.

Please accept my official complaint, and evidence list- to attach to my letter sent to you.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jacquelen B-N Jai

Jacquelyn B. N'Jai

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL COMMISSIONER, PHILADELPHIA REGIONAL OFFICE, ATTENTION: RPAO

300 SPRING GARDEN ST., 7<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19213

JACQUELYN B. N'JAI,

Plaintiff,

## CIVIL COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

٧.

- \* SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, MONROEVILLE OFFICE
- \* MRS. SIMONS, SIMMS, OR JANE DOE, SSA REPRESENTATIVE AT THE MONROEVILLE SOCIAL SECURITY OFFICE (In her personal and professional capacities)

Defendants.

CIVIL COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL: (1) REVIEW OF SSA'S NON-RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552; (2) REVIEW OF SSA'S REFUSAL TO ACCURATELY CORRECT THE EARNINGS STATEMENTS OF PLAINTIFF (DESPITE THE PROOF); (3) REVIEW OF THE SSA REFUSAL TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF A RIGHT TO GO BEFORE AN ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE/APPEAL; (4) REVIEW OF THE SSA REPRESENTATIVE AT THE MONROEVILLE OFFICE, INACCURATE VERBAL/CONCLUSIONS THAT WERE (CONTRADICTORY TO THE SSA STATUES); (5) REVIEW OF THE SSA'S REFUSAL TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF IN WRITING, SINCE SEPTEMBER 2017-NOW MARCH 2018, (6) REVIEW OF THE SSA'S REFUSAL TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF TO TRANSFER TO ANOTHER SSA OFFICE, and (7) REVIEW HOW SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION ACTED UNDER COLOR OF ITS OWN LAWS AND PROCEDURES

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF COURT
MARCH 2018

